arXiv:hep-th/0611142v2 18 Jun 2007

LPENSL-TH-11/06

# On correlation functions of integrable models associated to the six-vertex R-matrix

**N. Kitanine**[1], **K. Kozlowski**[2], **J. M. Maillet**[3],
**N. A. Slavnov**[4], **V. Terras**[5]

## Abstract

We derive an analog of the master equation, obtained recently for correlation functions of the $XXZ$ chain, for a wide class of quantum integrable systems described by the $R$-matrix of the six-vertex model, including in particular continuum models. This generalized master equation allows us to obtain multiple integral representations for the correlation functions of these models. We apply this method to derive the density-density correlation functions of the quantum non-linear Schrödinger model.

---

[1] LPTM, UMR 8089 du CNRS, Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France, kitanine@ptm.u-cergy.fr

[2] Laboratoire de Physique, ENS Lyon, France, karol.kozlowski@ens-lyon.fr

[3] Laboratoire de Physique, UMR 5672 du CNRS, ENS Lyon, France, maillet@ens-lyon.fr

[4] Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia, nslavnov@mi.ras.ru

[5] Laboratoire de Physique, UMR 5672 du CNRS, ENS Lyon, France, veronique.terras@ens-lyon.fr, on leave of absence from LPTA, UMR 5207 du CNRS, Université Montpellier II

# 1 Introduction

The method to study the spectrum of the Heisenberg antiferromagnet was proposed by H. Bethe in 1931 [1]. This method, called later Bethe ansatz, was found to be very effective for a complete description of the spectrum of quantum solvable models. During the ensuing years the Bethe ansatz was successfully extended and applied to the study of various problems (see e.g. [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]).

A further development of this method was done in [8], where the algebraic version of the Bethe ansatz was formulated. In the algebraic Bethe ansatz one deals with a set of operators (the operators entries of the quantum monodromy matrix) possessing quadratic commutation relations (governed by the $R$-matrix solving the Yang-Baxter equation). Different representations of this algebra correspond to different physical models associated to the same $R$-matrix. Thus, the algebraic Bethe ansatz allows one to consider a wide class of quantum integrable models from a uniform algebraic viewpoint (see [9] and references therein).

In spite of its successful application to the calculation of the spectrum of quantum Hamiltonians, the possibility to use the Bethe ansatz to evaluate the corresponding correlation functions remained involved for a long time. Basically the first complete results for integrable models correlation functions concerned the models equivalent to free fermions [5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] for which different methods apply. Attempts to compute the correlation functions of local operators by the algebraic Bethe ansatz for more general models were undertaken in [15, 16, 17, 18]. One of the main problems there is to embed in an appropriate way the local operators into the quadratic Yang-Baxter algebra describing the spectrum of these models.

The solution of the quantum inverse scattering problem, first obtained for the $XXZ$ chain [19], leads to a neat solution to this question: the local operators are then given as explicit products of the quantum monodromy matrix entries. Hence it gives a powerful tool for the computation of the correlation functions via the algebraic Bethe ansatz (see e.g. [20] and further developments reviewed in [21]). In turn, the explicit and compact expressions for local operators in terms of the entries of the quantum monodromy matrix reduce finally the calculation of the correlation functions to the well studied problem of the computation of scalar products in the algebraic Bethe ansatz framework [26, 27, 19]. It is worth mentioning, however, that the solution of the quantum inverse scattering problem has been obtained so far only for lattice models [22], the case of continuum (field theory) models remaining an open question.

The main goal of this paper is to extend some results obtained for the $XXZ$ chain to a wider class of integrable models described by the algebraic Bethe ansatz, but for which the explicit solution of the quantum inverse scattering problem is not known at present. Namely, we derive an analog of the master equation for correlation functions of the $XXZ$ chain obtained in [23] for the generalized model proposed in [24, 15, 16]. This model includes as a particular case continuum (field theory) models, the quantum Non Linear Schrödinger (NLS) model being one typical example. Just like in the case of $XXZ$ chain, this master equation can be used to derive multiple integral representations for a certain class of correlation functions. In particular, we obtain the density-density correlation functions of the quantum NLS model. The basic idea to reach this goal is to find a way to embed certain local operators of these models into the Yang-Baxter algebra of the quantum monodromy matrix entries, or at least, into the algebra of the quantum monodromy matrix entries corresponding to a subpart of the model. This can be achieved in particular for the number of particle operator associated to a segment $[0, x]$ in the quantum NLS model. Using then algebraic Bethe ansatz techniques, together with the determinant formula for the scalar products of Bethe states [26, 27], it is possible to compute the corresponding correlation functions in a rather compact way, hence leading to density-density correlation functions. The same technique can be applied for more general correlation functions as well.

The content of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic definitions and formulas necessary to study the generalized model in the framework of algebraic Bethe ansatz. In Section 3 we consider the two-site generalized model and derive the master equation for the expectation value of the operator of number of particles in the first site. In Section 4 we use this master equation to evaluate the multiple integral representation for the density-density correlation function of the Quantum Nonlinear Schrödinger model. The proofs of some algebraic identities are collected in three appendices.

## 2 Generalized model

In this section we recall briefly the main definitions of the algebraic Bethe ansatz and introduce necessary notations. We refer the reader to [8], [9] for more details.

The main objects of the algebraic Bethe ansatz are the $R$-matrix $R(\lambda)$, the monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$ and the pseudovacuum vector $|0\rangle$. We consider quantum integrable systems associated to the $R$-matrix of the six-vertex model[1]

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(\lambda+\eta) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh\lambda & \sinh\eta & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh\eta & \sinh\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sinh(\lambda+\eta) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda$ and $\eta$ are complex numbers. Usually the parameter $\eta$ is related to the coupling constant of the Hamiltonian.

The monodromy matrix of such model is a $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$T(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

with operator-valued entries $A, B, C$ and $D$ which depend on a complex parameter $\lambda$ and act in the quantum space of states of the Hamiltonian. These operators satisfy a set of quadratic commutation relations given by

---

[1] One can also consider the particular case where hyperbolic functions in the $R$-matrix degenerate into the rational ones.

$$R(\lambda-\mu)\overset{1}{T}(\lambda)\overset{2}{T}(\mu)=\overset{2}{T}(\mu)\overset{1}{T}(\lambda)R(\lambda-\mu). \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\overset{1}{T}(\lambda)=T(\lambda)\otimes I$ and $\overset{2}{T}(\lambda)=I\otimes T(\lambda)$.

The pseudovacuum $|0\rangle$ is characterized by the action of the entries of the monodromy matrix on this vector

$$A(\lambda)|0\rangle=a(\lambda)|0\rangle,\qquad D(\lambda)|0\rangle=d(\lambda)|0\rangle,\qquad C(\lambda)|0\rangle=0. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $a(\lambda)$ and $d(\lambda)$ are complex functions depending on the specific model. In the framework of the generalized model they remain free functional parameters. Various models associated to the same $R$-matrix are described by different representations of the ratio $r(\lambda)=a(\lambda)/d(\lambda)$.

The dual pseudovacuum $\langle 0|=|0\rangle^{\dagger}$, $\langle 0|0\rangle=1$ is characterized by similar equations

$$\langle 0|A(\lambda)=a(\lambda)\langle 0|,\qquad \langle 0|D(\lambda)=d(\lambda)\langle 0|,\qquad \langle 0|B(\lambda)=0. \tag{2.5}$$

The trace of the monodromy matrix $\mathcal{T}(\mu)=A(\mu)+D(\mu)$ appears to be the generating function of the integrals of motion of the model. In particular the eigenstates of $\mathcal{T}(\mu)$ coincide with the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. We shall also consider a more general object

$$\mathcal{T}_\kappa(\mu)=A(\mu)+\kappa D(\mu), \tag{2.6}$$

which is called the twisted transfer matrix. Here $\kappa$ is a complex parameter. The eigenstates (and their dual ones) of the operator $\mathcal{T}_\kappa(\mu)$ can be written in the form

$$|\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})\rangle=\prod_{j=1}^{N}B(\lambda_j)|0\rangle,\qquad \langle\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})|=\langle 0|\prod_{j=1}^{N}C(\lambda_j),\qquad N=0,1,\dots \tag{2.7}$$

where the parameters $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_N$ satisfy the system of twisted Bethe equations

$$\mathcal{Y}_\kappa(\lambda_j|\{\lambda\})=0,\qquad j=1,\dots,N. \tag{2.8}$$

Here

$$\mathcal{Y}_\kappa(\mu|\{\lambda\})=a(\mu)\prod_{k=1}^{N}\sinh(\lambda_k-\mu+\eta)+\kappa\, d(\mu)\prod_{k=1}^{N}\sinh(\lambda_k-\mu-\eta). \tag{2.9}$$

The corresponding eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa(\mu)$ on $|\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})\rangle$ (or on a dual eigenstate) reads

$$\tau_\kappa(\mu|\{\lambda\})=a(\mu)\prod_{k=1}^{N}\frac{\sinh(\lambda_k-\mu+\eta)}{\sinh(\lambda_k-\mu)}+\kappa\, d(\mu)\prod_{k=1}^{N}\frac{\sinh(\mu-\lambda_k+\eta)}{\sinh(\mu-\lambda_k)}. \tag{2.10}$$

Formulas (2.7)–(2.10) remain valid for the particular case $\kappa=1$ for which we omit the subscript $\kappa$, denoting for example, $|\psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle$, $\mathcal{Y}(\mu|\{\lambda\})$ and $\tau(\mu|\{\lambda\})$.

A central role in the calculation of the correlation functions is played by scalar products of states

$$S_N(\{\mu\}|\{\lambda\}) = \langle 0| \prod_{j=1}^{N} C(\mu_j) \prod_{j=1}^{N} B(\lambda_j)|0\rangle, \tag{2.11}$$

where the parameters $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ are arbitrary complex numbers and generically do not satisfy any constrains. Using the commutation relations (2.3) one can obtain an explicit, but not very compact formula for this quantity [15, 25, 9].

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0| \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{C(\mu_j)}{d(\mu_j)} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{B(\lambda_j)}{d(\lambda_j)} |0\rangle &= \prod_{j>k}^{N} \frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)\sinh(\mu_k - \mu_j)} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\alpha\cup\bar{\alpha}\\ \gamma\cup\bar{\gamma}}} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]} \prod_{a\in\gamma} r(\mu_a) \prod_{a\in\bar{\alpha}} r(\lambda_a) \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha\\ k\in\gamma}} t(\lambda_j, \mu_k) \det_{\substack{j\in\bar{\alpha}\\ k\in\bar{\gamma}}} t(\mu_k, \lambda_j) \\ &\times \prod_{a\in\gamma}\prod_{b\in\alpha} h(\lambda_b, \mu_a) \prod_{a\in\bar{\alpha}}\prod_{b\in\bar{\gamma}} h(\mu_b, \lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\bar{\alpha}}\prod_{b\in\alpha} h(\lambda_b, \lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\gamma}\prod_{b\in\bar{\gamma}} h(\mu_b, \mu_a),\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Let us describe the notations used in (2.12). First, we have introduced the two functions

$$h(\lambda, \mu) = \sinh(\lambda - \mu + \eta), \qquad t(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\sinh\eta}{\sinh(\lambda-\mu)\sinh(\lambda-\mu+\eta)}. \tag{2.13}$$

The set of the parameters $\{\lambda\}$ is divided into two disjoint subsets $\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda\}_\alpha \cup \{\lambda\}_{\bar{\alpha}}$. Similarly $\{\mu\} = \{\mu\}_\gamma \cup \{\mu\}_{\bar{\gamma}}$. Hereby $\#\{\lambda\}_\alpha = \#\{\mu\}_\gamma$. The parameters in each subset are ordered in the natural way, namely $\{\lambda_{\alpha_1}, \lambda_{\alpha_2}, \dots\}$ if $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots$ etc. The sum in (2.12) is taken with respect to all possible partitions of this kind. The symbol $P(\alpha)$ (respectively $P(\gamma)$) means the permutation $P(\{\alpha\}, \{\bar{\alpha}\}) = 1, \dots, N$ (respectively $P(\{\gamma\}, \{\bar{\gamma}\}) = 1, \dots, N$). Similar notations will be used through all the paper.

The formula (2.12) can be drastically simplified if one of the states is an eigenstate of the twisted transfer-matrix. Let us introduce, for arbitrary positive integers $n$ and $n'$ ($n \le n'$) and arbitrary sets of variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ and $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n'}$, such that $\{\lambda\} \subset \{\nu\}$, the following $n \times n$ matrix $\Omega_\kappa(\{\lambda\}, \{\mu\}|\{\nu\})$

$$\begin{aligned}(\Omega_\kappa)_{jk}(\{\lambda\}, \{\mu\}|\{\nu\}) &= a(\mu_k)\, t(\lambda_j, \mu_k) \prod_{a=1}^{n'} \sinh(\nu_a - \mu_k + \eta) \\ &\quad - \kappa\, d(\mu_k)\, t(\mu_k, \lambda_j) \prod_{a=1}^{n'} \sinh(\nu_a - \mu_k - \eta).\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Then, if $\{\lambda\}$ satisfies the system of twisted Bethe equations,

$$\langle 0|\prod_{j=1}^{N} C(\mu_j)|\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})\rangle = \langle\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})|\prod_{j=1}^{N} B(\mu_j)|0\rangle \tag{2.15}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N} d(\lambda_j)}{\prod_{j>k}^{N} \sinh(\lambda_j-\lambda_k)\sinh(\mu_k-\mu_j)} \cdot \det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{\lambda\},\{\mu\}|\{\lambda\}). \tag{2.16}$$

Different proofs of this formula for the case $\kappa = 1$ were given in [26, 27], and for the particular case of the $XXZ$ chain in [19]. For completeness we give in Appendix A another proof for arbitrary $\kappa$, which will be used later.

Observe that formula (2.15) implies two different representations for the particular case of the scalar product $\langle\psi(\{\mu\})|\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})\rangle$, for which in addition $\{\mu\}$ is a solution of ordinary (non-twisted) Bethe equations:

$$\langle\psi(\{\mu\})|\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})\rangle = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N} d(\lambda_j)}{\prod_{j>k}^{N} \sinh(\lambda_j-\lambda_k)\sinh(\mu_k-\mu_j)} \cdot \det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{\lambda\},\{\mu\}|\{\lambda\}) \tag{2.17}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N} d(\mu_j)}{\prod_{j>k}^{N} \sinh(\lambda_j-\lambda_k)\sinh(\mu_k-\mu_j)} \cdot \det_N \Omega(\{\mu\},\{\lambda\}|\{\mu\}), \tag{2.18}$$

where $\Omega = \Omega_\kappa|_{\kappa=1}$. Indeed, one can consider either the state $\langle\psi(\{\mu\})|$ or the state $|\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})\rangle$ as a particular case of an arbitrary state. We conclude that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N} d(\lambda_j)\cdot\det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{\lambda\},\{\mu\}|\{\lambda\}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} d(\mu_j)\cdot\det_N \Omega(\{\mu\},\{\lambda\}|\{\mu\}). \tag{2.19}$$

This identity holds if the parameters $\{\lambda\}$ (respectively $\{\mu\}$) are solutions of twisted Bethe equations (2.8) (respectively ordinary Bethe equations with $\kappa = 1$), see Appendix B for a direct proof. Otherwise both sides of the equation (2.19) define different functions of $\{\lambda\}$ and $\{\mu\}$.

Setting $\mu_j = \lambda_j$, $j = 1,\dots,N$ in (2.15) one has

$$\langle\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})|\psi_\kappa(\{\lambda\})\rangle = \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{N} d(\lambda_a)}{\prod_{\substack{a,b=1\\ a\neq b}}^{N} \sinh(\lambda_a-\lambda_b)} \cdot \det_N\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\mathcal{Y}_\kappa(\lambda_j|\{\lambda\})\right), \tag{2.20}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_\kappa$ is given by (2.9).

# 3 Two-site model

A number of correlation functions can be computed in the framework of the generalized two-site model introduced in [15, 16] (see also [9]). In the two-site model the monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$

is presented as the product of two operators $T(\lambda) = T_2(\lambda)T_1(\lambda)$, where

$$T_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_j(\lambda) & B_j(\lambda) \\ C_j(\lambda) & D_j(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 1, 2. \tag{3.1}$$

The commutation relations between the entries of each $T_j(\lambda)$ are given by the $R$-matrix (2.1), the operators from the different sites commuting with each other. The monodromy matrices $T_j(\lambda)$ have their own pseudovacuum vector $|0\rangle_j$ and dual one $\langle 0|_j$. Hereby $|0\rangle = |0\rangle_2 \otimes |0\rangle_1$. The action of the operators on these vectors is similar to (2.4), (2.5)

$$A_j(\lambda)|0\rangle_j = a_j(\lambda)|0\rangle_j, \qquad D_j(\lambda)|0\rangle = d_j(\lambda)|0\rangle_j, \qquad C_j(\lambda)|0\rangle_j = 0,$$

$$\langle 0|_j A_j(\lambda) = a_j(\lambda)\langle 0|_j, \qquad \langle 0|_j D_j(\lambda) = d_j(\lambda)\langle 0|_j, \qquad \langle 0|_j B_j(\lambda) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $a(\lambda) = a_1(\lambda)a_2(\lambda)$ and $d(\lambda) = d_1(\lambda)d_2(\lambda)$. Below we shall also use the functions

$$l(\lambda) = \frac{a_1(\lambda)}{d_1(\lambda)}, \qquad m(\lambda) = \frac{a_2(\lambda)}{d_2(\lambda)}, \qquad r(\lambda) = l(\lambda)m(\lambda). \tag{3.2}$$

Following the work [15] we introduce now the operator $Q_1$ of number of particles in the first site. This operator is defined by its commutation relations with the entries of the monodromy matrices $T_j(\lambda)$

$$[Q_1, B_1(\lambda)] = B_1(\lambda), \qquad [Q_1, C_1(\lambda)] = -C_1(\lambda). \tag{3.3}$$

All other commutation relations are trivial. The action of $Q_1$ on the pseudovacuum is given by

$$Q_1|0\rangle_1 = 0, \qquad \langle 0|_1 Q_1 = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

The expectation value of the operator $e^{\beta Q_1}$, where $\beta$ is a complex number, is a generating function for correlation functions. In order to compute this expectation value in the framework of the generalized two-site model one should use the commutation relations (3.3). We use the result obtained in [15, 16]. Let

$$\langle\langle Q_1^\kappa \rangle\rangle = \langle 0| \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{C(\mu_j)}{d(\mu_j)} \cdot e^{\beta Q_1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{B(\lambda_j)}{d(\lambda_j)} |0\rangle \cdot \prod_{j>k}^{N} \sinh(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)\sinh(\mu_k - \mu_j), \qquad \kappa = e^\beta, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\{\lambda\}$ and $\{\mu\}$ are generic complex numbers. Then

$$\langle\langle Q_1^\kappa\rangle\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\cup\bar\alpha\\ \gamma\cup\bar\gamma}} \kappa^n \langle 0|_1 \prod_{j\in\gamma}^N \frac{C_1(\mu_j)}{d_1(\mu_j)} \prod_{j\in\alpha}^N \frac{B_1(\lambda_j)}{d_1(\lambda_j)} |0\rangle_1 \langle 0|_2 \prod_{j\in\bar\gamma}^N \frac{C_2(\mu_j)}{d_2(\mu_j)} \prod_{j\in\bar\alpha}^N \frac{B_2(\lambda_j)}{d_2(\lambda_j)} |0\rangle_2$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{a>b\\ a,b\in\alpha}} \sinh(\lambda_a-\lambda_b) \prod_{\substack{a<b\\ a,b\in\gamma}} \sinh(\mu_a-\mu_b) \prod_{\substack{a>b\\ a,b\in\bar\alpha}} \sinh(\lambda_a-\lambda_b) \prod_{\substack{a<b\\ a,b\in\gamma}} \sinh(\mu_a-\mu_b)$$

$$\times (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]} \prod_{a\in\alpha} m(\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\bar\gamma} l(\mu_a) \prod_{a\in\alpha}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha} h(\lambda_b,\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\gamma}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma} h(\mu_a,\mu_b). \tag{3.6}$$

Here $n$ denotes the number of elements in the subset $\{\alpha\}$ (or $\{\gamma\}$). Thus, we see that this matrix element can be reduced to the scalar products in the first and in the second sites. For both of them one can use the representation (2.12) with appropriate replacement of $r(\lambda)$ by $l(\lambda)$ or $m(\lambda)$.

Substituting (2.12) for each scalar product in (3.6) we obtain a sum over 4 partitions of the set $\{\lambda\}$ and 4 partitions of the set $\{\mu\}$. It was shown in the papers [15, 16] that the sum over four of these partitions can be calculated, if the sets of the parameters $\{\lambda\}$ and $\{\mu\}$ are two different solutions of Bethe equations. This result, however, does not allow one to set $\{\lambda\} = \{\mu\}$ in this simplified formula, since two different solutions of Bethe equations can not be sent to each other. We consider therefore a more general case, when only the set of the parameters $\{\lambda\}$ satisfies Bethe equations, while the parameters $\{\mu\}$ remain arbitrary complex numbers. In a sense this case is analogous to the one considered in Section 2 for scalar products.

The main result of this section and of the paper is the generalized master equation for the matrix element of the operator $e^{\beta Q_1}$.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the parameters $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_N$ satisfy Bethe equations at $\kappa = 1$, while $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_N$ are generic complex numbers. Then*

$$\langle\langle Q_1^\kappa\rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \oint\limits_{\Gamma(\{\mu\}\cup\{\lambda\})} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{l(z_j)l^{-1}(\lambda_j)d(z_j)}{d(\lambda_j)d(\mu_j)}$$

$$\times \frac{\det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\mu\}|\{z\}) \det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\})}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^N \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(z_j|\{z\})}, \tag{3.7}$$

*where the integration contour $\Gamma(\{\mu\}\cup\{\lambda\})$ surrounds the poles of $\Omega_\kappa$ in the points $\{\lambda\}$ and $\{\mu\}$ and no other pole of the integrand.*

The proof is given in Appendix C. We would like to stress here that the only information used to derive this formula are the commutation relations (3.3).

*Note.* In the $XXZ$ model the two "sites" of the generalized model correspond to the division of the chain into two subchains. In this framework, the operator $e^{\beta Q_1}$ has an explicit representation in terms of local spin operators,

$$e^{\beta Q_1} = \prod_{n=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1+\kappa}{2} + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\cdot\sigma_n^z\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{m}(1-\sigma_n^z)\right), \qquad e^{\beta} = \kappa, \tag{3.8}$$

where $m$ is the length of the first subchain. Using the solution of the inverse scattering problem [20] one can obtain the equation (3.7) by the method described in [23]. However, this approach fails in the case of the generalized model, since the solution of the inverse scattering problem for such a model is not known at present.

Since the parameters $\{\mu\}$ in the equation (3.7) do not satisfy any constraints one can consider the particular case $\mu_j = \lambda_j$, $j = 1,\dots,N$. In this case we obtain for the normalized expectation value of the operator $e^{\beta Q_1}$

$$\langle e^{\beta Q_1}\rangle = \frac{\langle\psi(\{\lambda\})|e^{\beta Q_1}|\psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle}{\langle\psi(\{\lambda\})|\psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle} = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!}\oint\limits_{\Gamma(\{\lambda\})}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{dz_j}{2\pi i}\cdot\frac{l(z_j)d(z_j)}{l(\lambda_j)d(\lambda_j)}\right)$$
$$\times\frac{\Big[\det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\})\Big]^2}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{N}\mathcal{Y}_\kappa(z_j|\{z\})\det_N\frac{\partial\mathcal{Y}(\lambda_j|\{\lambda\})}{\partial\lambda_k}}. \tag{3.9}$$

# 4 Quantum Nonlinear Schrödinger equation

As an application of the master equation (3.9) we derive now a multiple integral representation for the density-density correlation function of the one-dimensional Bose gas. The method described below is very similar to the one used in [23, 29] for the $XXZ$ chain, therefore we refer the reader to the mentioned papers for more details.

The model of the one-dimensional Bose-gas is described by the Quantum Nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The Hamiltonian of this model on the ring of length $L$ is given by

$$H = \int_0^L\Big(\partial_x\psi^\dagger(x)\partial_x\psi(x) + c\psi^\dagger(x)\psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x)\psi(x) - h\psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x)\Big)\,dx, \tag{4.1}$$

where $c > 0$ is the coupling constant and $h > 0$ the chemical potential. The operators $\psi^\dagger$ and $\psi$ are canonical Bose-fields obeying standard equal-time commutation relations. This model was studied via the algebraic Bethe ansatz in [24, 15, 9]. The operator $Q_1$ giving the number of particle in the interval $[0,x]$ for this model has the following explicit representation

$$Q_1 = \int_0^x \psi^\dagger(z)\psi(z)\,dz. \tag{4.2}$$

The commutation relations (3.3) can be proven directly. Then, the ground state expectation value of $e^{\beta Q_1}$ can be used to compute the density-density correlation function [15]

$$\langle\psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x)\cdot\psi^\dagger(0)\psi(0)\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\beta^2}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\langle e^{\beta Q_1}\rangle\Big|_{\beta=0}. \tag{4.3}$$

The master equation (3.9) permits us to obtain in a simple way a multiple integral representation for this quantity in the thermodynamic limit.

In the case of the Quantum Nonlinear Schrödinger equation one has

$$a(\lambda)=e^{-iL\lambda/2},\qquad d(\lambda)=e^{iL\lambda/2},\qquad l(\lambda)=e^{-ix\lambda}, \tag{4.4}$$

One should also replace all hyperbolic functions in $\Omega_\kappa$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\kappa$ by the rational ones and set $\eta=-ic$. The system of Bethe equations then reads

$$e^{iL\lambda_j}=\prod_{\substack{a=1\\a\neq j}}\frac{\lambda_j-\lambda_a+ic}{\lambda_j-\lambda_a-ic}, \tag{4.5}$$

and all its solutions are real numbers. For the solution describing the ground state of the model the spectral parameters $\{\lambda\}$ belong to an interval $[q,-q]$, where $q$ depends on the coupling constant $c$ and the chemical potential $h$. The system of twisted Bethe equations can be written in the form

$$e^{iL(z_j-\frac{i\beta}{L})}=\prod_{\substack{a=1\\a\neq j}}\frac{z_j-z_a+ic}{z_j-z_a-ic},\qquad \kappa=e^{\beta}. \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore all the roots of the twisted Bethe equations belong to the line $\mathbb{R}+i\beta/L$.

The equation (3.9) takes the form

$$\langle e^{\beta Q_1}\rangle=\frac{(-1)^N}{N!}\oint\limits_{\Gamma(\{\lambda\})}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{dz_j}{2\pi i}\cdot e^{i(L/2-x)(z_j-\lambda_j)}\right)\cdot\frac{\Big[\det_N\Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\})\Big]^2}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{N}\mathcal{Y}_\kappa(z_j|\{z\})\det_N\frac{\partial\mathcal{Y}(\lambda_j|\{\lambda\})}{\partial\lambda_k}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $\beta<0$. We will now transform this representation by several moves of the integration contour. Note that the integrand vanishes when $|z_j|\to\infty$. Therefore, one can evaluate the integral (4.7) by the residues at the poles of the integrand outside the integration contour. These poles correspond to the solutions of the twisted Bethe equations (4.6), which lie on the line $\mathbb{R}+i\beta/L$. Thus, as soon as we have moved the contour to these roots, we can replace one of $\det \Omega_\kappa$ by $\det \Omega$ due to (2.19), and we have

$$\langle e^{\beta Q_1} \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}+i\beta/L-i0} - \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}+i\beta/L+i0} \right) \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N} e^{-ix(z_j-\lambda_j)}$$

$$\times \frac{\det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\}) \det_N \Omega(\{\lambda\},\{z\}|\{\lambda\})}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(z_j|\{z\}) \det_N \frac{\partial \mathcal{Y}(\lambda_j|\{\lambda\})}{\partial \lambda_k}}. \quad (4.8)$$

The integrals over $\mathbb{R} + i\beta/L - i0$ vanish due to the exponential decreasing of the factors $\exp(-iz_jx)$ in the lower half plane. Thus, we obtain

$$\langle e^{\beta Q_1} \rangle = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}+i\beta/L+i0} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N} e^{-ix(z_j-\lambda_j)}$$

$$\times \frac{\det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\}) \det_N \Omega(\{\lambda\},\{z\}|\{\lambda\})}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(z_j|\{z\}) \det_N \frac{\partial \mathcal{Y}(\lambda_j|\{\lambda\})}{\partial \lambda_k}}. \quad (4.9)$$

It remains to move the contour up, through the real axis. Note that the matrix $\Omega(\{\lambda\},\{z\}|\{\lambda\})$ has no poles at $z_j = \lambda_k$, therefore we cross only the simple poles of $\det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\})$. Taking into account that

$$\operatorname{Res} \det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\}) \Big|_{z_a=\lambda_b} = (-1)^{a+b} \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(\lambda_b|\{z \neq z_a\},\lambda_b)$$

$$\times \det_{N-1} \Omega_\kappa(\{z \neq z_a\},\{\lambda \neq \lambda_b\}|\{z \neq z_a\},\lambda_b), \quad (4.10)$$

we arrive at the sum over partitions

$$\langle e^{\beta Q_1} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^N}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\cup\bar\alpha \\ \#\{\alpha\}=n}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}+i0} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{-ixz_j} \prod_{a\in\alpha} e^{ix\lambda_a}$$

$$\times \frac{\det_n \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}_\alpha|\{z\}\cup\{\lambda\}_{\bar\alpha}) \det_N \Omega(\{\lambda\},\{z\}\cup\{\lambda\}_{\bar\alpha}|\{\lambda\})}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(z_j|\{z\}\cup\{\lambda\}_{\bar\alpha}) \det_N \frac{\partial \mathcal{Y}(\lambda_j|\{\lambda\})}{\partial \lambda_k}}. \quad (4.11)$$

The representation (4.11) is the complete analog of the one obtained for the $XXZ$ chain (see, for example, [20, 28, 23, 29]). Therefore the remaining steps of the computation, in particular the thermodynamic limit, are the same as the one's in the $XXZ$ model. We refer the reader to these cited papers for the details and give here the final result for the ground state expectation value of the operator $e^{\beta Q_1}$ in the thermodynamic limit

$$\langle e^{\beta Q_1}\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(n!)^2}\int_{-q}^{q} d^n\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}+i0}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi i}\cdot\prod_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{(\lambda_a-z_b-ic)(z_b-\lambda_a-ic)}{(\lambda_a-\lambda_b-ic)(z_a-z_b-ic)}$$
$$\times\prod_{b=1}^{n}e^{-ix(z_b-\lambda_b)}\ \det_n\tilde{M}(\{\lambda\},\{z\})\cdot\det_n[\tilde{\rho}(\lambda_j,z_k)]. \quad (4.12)$$

Here

$$\tilde{M}_{jk}(\{\lambda\},\{z\}) = t(z_k,\lambda_j)+e^{\beta}t(\lambda_j,z_k)\prod_{a=1}^{n}\frac{h(\lambda_a,\lambda_j)h(\lambda_j,z_a)}{h(\lambda_j,\lambda_a)h(z_a,\lambda_j)}, \quad (4.13)$$

and the function $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda,z)$ solves the integral equation

$$2\pi\tilde{\rho}(\lambda,z)-\int_{-q}^{q}\frac{2c\tilde{\rho}(\mu,z)\,d\mu}{(\lambda-\mu)^2+c^2}=\frac{-\,c}{(\lambda-z)(\lambda-z-ic)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Observe that the series (4.12) is completely analogous to the one obtained for the $XXZ$ chain in [28]. The function $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda,z)$ is just the rational limit of the corresponding quantity for the $XXZ$ model. It is a universal function depending essentially on the $R$-matrix.

The series (4.12) is especially effective in the large coupling limit $c\to\infty$. Indeed, one can see that only the first $m+2$ terms of the above series contribute to the $m-th$ order in $1/c$-expansion of the density-density correlation function. In particular it reproduces the known results at the free fermion point $c=\infty$ (see e.g. [9] and references therein).

## Conclusion

We have shown that the analog of the master equation for correlation functions exists for a wide class of integrable systems described by the $R$-matrix of the six-vertex model. Our method applies, in particular, to the Quantum Nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the lattice Sine-Gordon model. Like in the case of the $XXZ$ chain, this generalized master equation provides the direct analytical link between multiple integral representations for the correlation functions and their expansions over form factors. Using the generalized master equation one can also obtain various integral formulas for the correlation functions in the thermodynamic limit. In the forthcoming publications we are planning to give such integral representations, which are convenient for the asymptotic analysis of correlation functions.

## Acknowledgments

J. M. M., N. S. and V. T. are supported by CNRS. N. K., K. K., J. M. M. and V. T. are supported by the European network EUCLID-HPRNC-CT-2002-00325 and by the ANR programm

GIMP ANR-05-BLAN-0029-01. N.S. is supported by the French-Russian Exchange Program, the Program of RAS Mathematical Methods of the Nonlinear Dynamics, RFBR-05-01-00498, Scientific Schools 672.2006.1. N. K and N. S. would like to thank the Theoretical Physics group of the Laboratory of Physics at ENS Lyon for hospitality, which makes this collaboration possible.

# A Proof of the determinant representation for the scalar product

Consider an auxiliary function $G_N^{(n)}$ depending for given $n$ and $N$ $(0 \leq n \leq N)$ on three sets of complex parameters $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$, $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{N-n}\}$ and $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\}$

$$G_N^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\}) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\cup\bar\alpha \\ \#\{\alpha\}=n}} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]} \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha \\ k=1,\dots,n}} t(\lambda_j,\xi_k) \cdot \det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha \\ k=1,\dots,N-n}} t(\nu_k,\lambda_j)$$
$$\times \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^{n}\prod_{b=1}^{N-n} h(\nu_b,\xi_a) \prod_{a\in\alpha}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha} h(\lambda_b,\lambda_a) - \prod_{a=1}^{n}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha} h(\lambda_b,\xi_a) \prod_{a=1}^{N-n}\prod_{b\in\alpha} h(\nu_a,\lambda_b) \right\}$$
$$\times \prod_{a=1}^{n}\prod_{b\in\alpha} h(\lambda_b,\xi_a) \prod_{a=1}^{N-n}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha} h(\nu_a,\lambda_b). \qquad \text{(A.1)}$$

Here the sum is taken with respect to all partitions of the set $\{\lambda\}$ into two disjoint subsets $\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda\}_\alpha \cup \{\lambda\}_{\bar\alpha}$, such that $\#\{\alpha\} = n$.

**Lemma A.1.** *For arbitrary complex numbers* $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$, $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{N-n}\}$ *and* $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\}$

$$G_N^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\}) \equiv 0. \qquad \text{(A.2)}$$

*Proof.* For $N = 1$ the statement of the lemma is evident, since either $n = 0$ or $N - n = 0$. Let $G_{N-1}^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\}) = 0$. Consider the properties of $G_N^{(n)}$ as a function of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$, with other parameters fixed. Obviously $G_N^{(n)} = 0$ as soon as $\lambda_j = \lambda_k$ $(j, k = 1, \dots, N,\ j \neq k)$. Hence, the combination

$$\tilde G_N^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\}) = \frac{G_N^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\})}{\prod\limits_{k<j} \sinh(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)} \qquad \text{(A.3)}$$

has no poles at $\lambda_j = \lambda_k$. Therefore the only possible singularities of $\tilde G_N^{(n)}$ are the poles at $\lambda_j = \xi_k$ and $\lambda_j = \nu_k$. It is easy to see that the residues in these poles are proportional to $\tilde G_{N-1}^{(n)}$, for instance,

$$\operatorname{Res} \tilde{G}_N^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\})\Big|_{\lambda_1=\xi_1} = \tilde{G}_{N-1}^{(n)}(\{\xi\neq\xi_1\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\neq\lambda_1\})$$

$$\times \sinh\eta \prod_{b=2}^{N} \frac{\sinh(\lambda_b-\xi_1+\eta)}{\sinh(\lambda_b-\xi_1)} \prod_{a=1}^{n} h(\xi_1,\xi_a) \prod_{a=1}^{N-n} h(\nu_a,\xi_1). \tag{A.4}$$

Due to the induction assumption these residues vanish. Thus, $\tilde{G}_N^{(n)}$ is a holomorphic function of each $\lambda_j$ in whole complex plane. It remains to observe that $\tilde{G}_N^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\})$ is an $i\pi$-anti-periodic function of the parameters $\{\lambda\}$ and that it exponentially decreases at $|\Re\lambda_j|\to\infty$. Hence, $G_N^{(n)}(\{\xi\},\{\nu\},\{\lambda\})=0$. $\square$

Consider now the equation (2.12). Let one of the states, for instance $\prod_{j=1}^N B(\lambda_j)|0\rangle$, be an eigenstate of the twisted transfer-matrix. Then, due to the twisted Bethe equations (2.8), one can express $r(\lambda_j)$ as

$$r(\lambda_j) = \kappa(-1)^{N-1}\prod_{a=1}^{N}\frac{h(\lambda_j,\lambda_a)}{h(\lambda_a,\lambda_j)}. \tag{A.5}$$

Substituting this into (2.12) we obtain

$$\langle 0|\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{C(\mu_j)}{d(\mu_j)}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{B(\lambda_j)}{d(\lambda_j)}|0\rangle = \prod_{j>k}^{N}\frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda_j-\lambda_k)\sinh(\mu_k-\mu_j)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\alpha\cup\bar\alpha\\ \gamma\cup\bar\gamma}} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]}(-1)^{nN-n}\kappa^{N-n}\prod_{a\in\gamma} r(\mu_a) \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha\\ k\in\gamma}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k) \det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha\\ k\in\bar\gamma}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)$$

$$\times \prod_{a\in\gamma}\prod_{b\in\alpha} h(\lambda_b,\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha}\prod_{b\in\alpha} h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)\prod_{a\in\gamma}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma} h(\mu_b,\mu_a), \tag{A.6}$$

where $n=\#\{\alpha\}$. For given partitions $\{\gamma\}$ and $\{\bar\gamma\}$ one can set $\{\mu\}_\gamma=\{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n\}$, $\{\mu\}_{\bar\gamma}=\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_{N-n}\}$ and then apply Lemma A.1

$$\langle 0|\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{C(\mu_j)}{d(\mu_j)}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{B(\lambda_j)}{d(\lambda_j)}|0\rangle = \prod_{j>k}^{N}\frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda_j-\lambda_k)\sinh(\mu_k-\mu_j)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\alpha\cup\bar\alpha\\ \gamma\cup\bar\gamma}} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]}(-1)^{nN-n}\kappa^{N-n}\prod_{a\in\gamma} r(\mu_a) \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha\\ k\in\gamma}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k) \det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha\\ k\in\bar\gamma}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)$$

$$\times \prod_{a\in\gamma}\prod_{b=1}^{N} h(\lambda_b,\mu_a)\prod_{a=1}^{N}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a). \tag{A.7}$$

It remains to use the Laplace formula for the determinant of the sum of two matrices and we arrive at (2.15).

## B Identity for determinants

Let

$$\hat{G}_{jk} = t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\prod_{a=1}^{N} h(\mu_k,\lambda_a) + \kappa t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\prod_{a=1}^{N} h(\lambda_a,\mu_k)\prod_{a=1}^{N}\frac{h(\mu_k,\mu_a)}{h(\mu_a,\mu_k)}, \tag{B.1}$$

$$\tilde{G}_{jk} = t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\prod_{a=1}^{N} h(\mu_a,\lambda_j) + \kappa t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\prod_{a=1}^{N} h(\lambda_j,\mu_a)\prod_{a=1}^{N}\frac{h(\lambda_a,\lambda_j)}{h(\lambda_j,\lambda_a)}. \tag{B.2}$$

**Lemma B.1.** *For arbitrary complex numbers* $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_N$ *and* $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_N$ *the following identity holds*

$$\det_N \tilde{G} = \det_N \hat{G}. \tag{B.3}$$

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is similar to the one of Lemma A.1. For $N=1$ the statement of the lemma is correct. Assume that it is still correct for $N-1$. Then, considering the equation (B.3) in the points $\lambda_j=\mu_k$, we see that the corresponding residues are proportional to the determinants of the size $N-1$, which are equal to each other due to the inductive assumption.

The only nontrivial step is to prove that the determinants of $\tilde{G}$ and $\hat{G}$ have no other singularities except at the points $\lambda_j=\mu_k$. At the first sight one could expect that $\det\tilde{G}$ might have simple poles at $h(\lambda_j,\lambda_k)=0$, and $\det\hat{G}$ at $h(\mu_j,\mu_k)=0$. It is easy to see, however, that the corresponding residues vanish. Indeed, let, for example, $h(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=0$. Then the first line of the matrix $\tilde{G}$ becomes singular

$$\tilde{G}_{1k} \sim \kappa t(\lambda_1,\mu_k)\prod_{a=1}^{N} h(\lambda_1,\mu_a)\prod_{a=1}^{N}\frac{h(\lambda_a,\lambda_1)}{h(\lambda_1,\lambda_a)}. \tag{B.4}$$

On the other hand one has for the second line of $\tilde{G}$

$$\tilde{G}_{2k} \sim t(\mu_k,\lambda_2)\prod_{a=1}^{N} h(\mu_a,\lambda_2). \tag{B.5}$$

Taking into account that $t(\mu_k,\lambda_2)=t(\lambda_1,\mu_k)$ at $h(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=0$, we see that the first and the second lines of $\tilde{G}$ are proportional to each other. Hence, the corresponding residue vanishes. Similarly one can prove that $\det\hat{G}$ has no poles at $h(\mu_j,\mu_k)=0$. □

The identity (B.1) allows one to prove directly the equation (2.19) if the parameters $\{\lambda\}$ (respectively $\{\mu\}$) are solutions of twisted Bethe equations (2.8) (respectively ordinary Bethe equations with $\kappa=1$).

# C Proof of the theorem 3.1

We start with the equation (3.6). Substituting (2.12) for each scalar product we obtain a sum over 4 partitions of the set $\{\lambda\}$ and 4 partitions of the set $\{\mu\}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\langle Q_1^{\kappa}\rangle\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_+\cup\alpha_-\cup\bar\alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-\\ \gamma_+\cup\gamma_-\cup\bar\gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-}} \prod_{a\in\gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-} l(\mu_a) \prod_{a\in\alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-} m(\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\bar\gamma_+} r(\mu_a) \prod_{a\in\alpha_-} r(\lambda_a) \\
\times\, \kappa^{n_++n_-} \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_+\\ k\in\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k) \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_-\\ k\in\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j) \det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_+\\ k\in\bar\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k) \det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_-\\ k\in\bar\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j) \\
\times \prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+} h(\lambda_b,\mu_a) \prod_{a\in\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\gamma_-} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+} h(\lambda_b,\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\gamma_-} h(\mu_b,\mu_a) \\
\times \prod_{a\in\bar\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha_+} h(\lambda_b,\mu_a) \prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha_+} h(\lambda_b,\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\bar\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-} h(\mu_b,\mu_a) \\
\times\, (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]} \prod_{a\in\alpha_+\cup\alpha_-}\ \prod_{b\in\bar\alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-} h(\lambda_b,\lambda_a) \prod_{a\in\gamma_+\cup\gamma_-}\ \prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-} h(\mu_a,\mu_b).
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.1}
$$

Here, as usual, $P(\alpha)$ is the permutation acting as $P(\{\alpha_+\},\{\alpha_-\},\{\bar\alpha_+\},\{\bar\alpha_-\}) = 1,\dots,N$ and the permutation $P(\gamma)$ acts in a similar way. The numbers of elements in the subsets $\{\alpha_+\}$ and $\{\alpha_-\}$ are denoted as $n_+$ and $n_-$ respectively.

The sets $\{\mu\}$ and $\{\lambda\}$ in (C.1) are still generic complex numbers. If the set $\{\lambda\}$ satisfies Bethe equations (2.8) with $\kappa = 1$, then we can express $r(\lambda_a)$ similarly to (A.5). Denoting

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_0 = \alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-, \qquad \bar\alpha_0 = \bar\alpha_+\cup\alpha_-,\\
&\gamma_0 = \gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-, \qquad \bar\gamma_0 = \bar\gamma_+\cup\gamma_-,
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.2}
$$

we obtain

$$\langle\langle Q_1^\kappa\rangle\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_+\cup\alpha_-\cup\bar\alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-\\ \gamma_+\cup\gamma_-\cup\bar\gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-}} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]}\prod_{a\in\gamma_0} l(\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\alpha_0} m(\lambda_a)\cdot\kappa^{n_+}$$

$$\times \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_+\\ k\in\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_-\\ k\in\bar\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0}\prod_{b\in\alpha_0} h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)\prod_{b\in\gamma_-}\prod_{a\in\gamma_0} h(\mu_b,\mu_a)\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_+}\prod_{a\in\gamma_0} h(\mu_a,\mu_b)$$

$$\times\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+} h(\lambda_b,\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+} h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-} h(\mu_a,\mu_b)$$

$$\times\kappa^{n_-}(-1)^{(N-1)n_-}\prod_{a\in\bar\gamma_+} r(\mu_a)\det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_-\\ k\in\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_+\\ k\in\bar\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)$$

$$\times\prod_{a\in\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\gamma_-} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha_+} h(\lambda_b,\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha_+} h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)\prod_{a\in\gamma_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_+} h(\mu_a,\mu_b). \quad \text{(C.3)}$$

The sets $\{\lambda\}_{\alpha_-}$ and $\{\lambda\}_{\bar\alpha_+}$ enter separately only the two last lines of (C.3). Hence, we can sum up over these partitions (at others partitions fixed) and apply Lemma A.1:

$$\sum_{\alpha_-\cup\bar\alpha_+} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]}\det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_-\\ k\in\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_+\\ k\in\bar\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)$$

$$\times\prod_{a\in\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\gamma_-} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha_+} h(\lambda_b,\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\alpha_+} h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)\prod_{a\in\gamma_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_+} h(\mu_a,\mu_b)$$

$$=\sum_{\alpha_-\cup\bar\alpha_+} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]}\det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_-\\ k\in\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_+\\ k\in\bar\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)$$

$$\times\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_+}\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0} h(\lambda_a,\mu_b)\prod_{b\in\gamma_-}\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0} h(\mu_b,\lambda_a). \quad \text{(C.4)}$$

Substituting this into (C.3) we see that the sum over the partitions $\{\alpha_-\},\{\bar\alpha_+\},\{\gamma_-\},\{\bar\gamma_+\}$

gives exactly the Laplace decomposition of the determinant. Thus, we obtain

$$\langle\langle Q_1^\kappa\rangle\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-\cup\bar\alpha_0\\ \gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-\cup\bar\gamma_0}} \prod_{a\in\gamma_0} l(\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\alpha_0} m(\lambda_a)\cdot\kappa^{n_+}\cdot \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_+\\ k\in\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_-\\ k\in\bar\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)$$

$$\times(-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]}\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_0\\ k\in\bar\gamma_0}}\Bigg[r(\mu_k)t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0}h(\lambda_a,\mu_k)\prod_{a\in\gamma_0}h(\mu_a,\mu_k)$$

$$-\kappa(-1)^N t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0}h(\mu_k,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\gamma_0}h(\mu_k,\mu_a)\Bigg]\cdot\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0}\prod_{b\in\alpha_0}h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)$$

$$\times\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+}h(\lambda_b,\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-}h(\mu_b,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+}h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-}h(\mu_a,\mu_b). \quad (C.5)$$

Now, for arbitrary fixed partitions $\bar\alpha_0$ and $\bar\gamma_0$, we can sum up with respect to the remaining partitions. Using again Lemma A.1 we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-\\ \gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-}}(-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]}\kappa^{n_+}\cdot\det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_+\\ k\in\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_-\\ k\in\bar\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\cdot\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\gamma_0}\frac{h(\mu_a,\mu_b)}{h(\mu_b,\mu_a)}$$

$$\times\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+}h(\lambda_b,\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-}h(\mu_b,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_-}\prod_{b\in\alpha_+}h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-}h(\mu_b,\mu_a)$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{\alpha_+\cup\bar\alpha_-\\ \gamma_+\cup\bar\gamma_-}}(-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]}\kappa^{n_+}\cdot\det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_+\\ k\in\gamma_+}} t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_-\\ k\in\bar\gamma_-}} t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\cdot\prod_{a\in\gamma_+}\prod_{b\in\gamma_0}\frac{h(\mu_a,\mu_b)}{h(\mu_b,\mu_a)}$$

$$\times\prod_{b\in\gamma_+}\prod_{a\in\alpha_0}h(\lambda_a,\mu_b)\prod_{b\in\bar\gamma_-}\prod_{a\in\alpha_0}h(\mu_b,\lambda_a)$$

$$=\det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_0\\ k\in\gamma_0}}\left[t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\prod_{a\in\alpha_0}h(\mu_k,\lambda_a)+\kappa t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\prod_{a\in\alpha_0}h(\lambda_a,\mu_k)\prod_{a\in\gamma_0}\frac{h(\mu_k,\mu_a)}{h(\mu_a,\mu_k)}\right]. \quad (C.6)$$

Applying now Lemma B.1 we finally arrive at

$$\langle\langle Q_1^\kappa\rangle\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_0\cup\bar\alpha_0\\ \gamma_0\cup\bar\gamma_0}} (-1)^{[P(\alpha)]+[P(\gamma)]} \prod_{a\in\gamma_0} l(\mu_a) \prod_{a\in\alpha_0} m(\lambda_a)\cdot \prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0}\prod_{b\in\alpha_0} h(\lambda_a,\lambda_b)$$

$$\times \det_{\substack{j\in\alpha_0\\ k\in\gamma_0}} \left[ t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\prod_{a\in\gamma_0} h(\mu_a,\lambda_j) + \kappa t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\prod_{a\in\gamma_0} h(\lambda_j,\mu_a)\prod_{a\in\alpha_0}\frac{h(\lambda_a,\lambda_j)}{h(\lambda_j,\lambda_a)}\right]$$

$$\times \det_{\substack{j\in\bar\alpha_0\\ k\in\bar\gamma_0}} \left[ r(\mu_k) t(\lambda_j,\mu_k)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0} h(\lambda_a,\mu_k)\prod_{a\in\gamma_0} h(\mu_a,\mu_k)\right.$$

$$\left. - \kappa(-1)^N t(\mu_k,\lambda_j)\prod_{a\in\bar\alpha_0} h(\mu_k,\lambda_a)\prod_{a\in\gamma_0} h(\mu_k,\mu_a)\right]. \qquad (C.7)$$

It is easy to see that this sum over partitions can be written as a single multiple integral

$$\langle\langle Q_1^\kappa\rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{N!}\oint\limits_{\Gamma(\{\mu\}\cup\{\lambda\})} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i}\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{l(z_j)l^{-1}(\lambda_j)d(z_j)}{d(\lambda_j)d(\mu_j)}$$

$$\times \frac{\det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\mu\}|\{z\}) \det_N \Omega_\kappa(\{z\},\{\lambda\}|\{z\})}{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(z_j|\{z\})}. \qquad (C.8)$$

Here the integration contour $\Gamma(\{\mu\}\cup\{\lambda\})$ surrounds the poles of $\Omega_\kappa$ in the points $\{\lambda\}$ and $\{\mu\}$ and no other pole of the integrand.

# References

[1] H. Bethe, Zeitschrift für Physik, **71** (1931) 205.

[2] R. Orbach, Phys. Rev., **112** (1958) 309.

[3] L. R. Walker, Phys. Rev., **116** (1959) 1089.

[4] M. Gaudin, *La Fonction d'Onde de Bethe*, Paris: Masson, 1983.

[5] E. Lieb, T. Shultz and D. Mattis, Ann. Phys., **16** (1961) 407.

[6] E. Lieb and D. Mattis (eds.), *Mathematical Physics in One Dimension*, New York: Academic Press, (1966).

[7] C. N, Yang and C. P. Yang, Phys. Rev., **150** (1966) 321, 327.

[8] L. D. Faddeev, E. K. Sklyanin and L. A. Takhtajan, Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1980) 688.

[9] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[10] B. M. McCoy, Phys. Rev., **173** (1968) 531.

[11] A. Lenard, J. Math. Phys. **7** (1966) 1268.

[12] M. Sato, T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, Publ. Res. Int. Math. Sci. **14** (1978) 223; **15** (1979) 201, 577, 871; **16** (1980) 531.

[13] V. E. Korepin and N. A. Slavnov, Comm. Math. Phys. **129** (1990) 103.

[14] F. Colomo, A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin and V. Tognetti, Theor. Math. Phys. **94** (1993) 11.

[15] A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin, Comm. Math. Phys. **94** (1984) 67

[16] A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin, Commun. Math. Phys. **99** (1985) 271.

[17] V. E. Korepin, Comm. Math. Phys. **113** (1987) 177.

[18] T. Kojima, V. E. Korepin and N. A. Slavnov, Comm. Math. Phys. **189** (1997) 709.

[19] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B, **554** [FS] (1999) 647, math-ph/9807020.

[20] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **567**, 554 (2000), math-ph/9907019.

[21] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras, in "Solvable lattice models 2004", RIMS publications, 2006, hep-th/0505006.

[22] J. M. Maillet and V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **575**, 627 (2000), hep-th/9911030.

[23] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. **B712** (2005) 600, hep-th/0406190.

[24] V. E. Korepin, Comm. Math. Phys. **86** (1982) 391.

[25] A. G. Izergin, Sov. Phys. Dokl., **32** (1987) 878

[26] N. A. Slavnov, Theor. Math. Phis. **79** (1989) 502.

[27] N. A. Slavnov, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI **245** (1997) 270.

[28] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **641** [FS] (2002) 487; hep-th/0201045.

[29] N. Kitanine, J. M. Maillet, N. A. Slavnov, V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. **B729** (2005) 558; hep-th/0407108.